

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 4347-4351

## Original one-pot microwave-promoted Hunsdiecker–Suzuki strategy: straightforward access to *trans*-1,2-diarylethenes from cinnamic acids

Marc-Antoine Bazin, Laïla El Kihel, Jean-Charles Lancelot and Sylvain Rault\*

Centre d'Etudes et de Recherche sur le Médicament de Normandie, UPRES EA-3915, U.F.R. des Sciences Pharmaceutiques, Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, 5, rue Vaubénard, 14032 Caen Cedex, France

> Received 27 February 2007; revised 15 March 2007; accepted 23 April 2007 Available online 27 April 2007

Abstract—An original strategy combining a Hunsdiecker-type bromodecarboxylation and a Suzuki cross-coupling reaction starting from various cinnamic acids has been developed in one-pot and under microwave heating to give *trans*-1,2-diarylethenes in few minutes.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Substituted *trans*-1,2-diarylethenes or *trans*-stilbenes are of interest in many cases from a pharmacological point of view.<sup>1</sup> In particular, hydroxylated *trans*-stilbenes such as resveratrol or piceatannol display a variety of biological actions including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory activities and anticarcinogenic effects.<sup>2–4</sup> Photophysical properties of *trans*-stilbenes (photoisomerization,<sup>5</sup> fluorescence)<sup>6</sup> have also been widely studied.



*trans*-Stilbenes (*trans*-1,2-diarylethenes) Resveratrol (Y=H) Piceatannol (Y=OH)

Thus, due to the large amount of interest in such compounds, we decided to achieve their synthesis using an original pathway which could also allow a great mole-

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.04.114

cular diversity. The carbon–carbon double bond formation is the key step in the synthesis of *trans*-stilbenes and needs a high level of geometrical control. Conventional synthetic methods start from arylaldehydes engaged towards various partners in different reactions such as Wittig–Horner reaction,<sup>7</sup> Julia olefination<sup>8</sup> or Mc Murry olefination.<sup>1a</sup> More recent strategies involve Pd-catalyzed Heck,<sup>9</sup> Stille<sup>10</sup> or Suzuki<sup>11</sup> cross-coupling reactions, phosphonium salts homocoupling reactions<sup>12</sup> or Ru-catalyzed cross metathesis.<sup>13</sup> However, none of these methods is really general due to the difficult availability of some raw materials.

As Suzuki cross-coupling reactions are one of our laboratory interests<sup>14</sup> and considering that arylboronic acids are widely available, we thought about a general procedure using these Suzuki reactions starting from (E)- $\beta$ arylvinyl bromides. This strategy was all the more justified that we could use a Hunsdiecker-type reaction to obtain the latter compounds started from (E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aromatic carboxylic acids.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, the stereoselective access to trans-\beta-halostyrenes under catalytic conditions has been optimized<sup>16</sup> and few Suzuki crosscoupling reactions using those compounds in the synthesis of substituted trans-1,2-diarylethenes have been reported.<sup>17</sup> That is the reason why we developed a strategy combining the Hunsdiecker-type bromodecarboxylation and the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction. Furthermore, as only two one-pot strategies have been developed combining Hunsdiecker reaction and Pdcatalyzed Heck<sup>18</sup> or Sonogashira<sup>19</sup> cross-coupling

*Keywords*: Hunsdiecker bromodecarboxylation; Suzuki cross-coupling; *trans*-1,2-Diarylethenes; Microwave-assisted synthesis.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 (0)2 31 56 59 10; fax: +33 (0)2 31 93 11 88; e-mail: sylvain.rault@unicaen.fr



Scheme 1.

reactions, we also studied a one-pot microwave-promoted methodology (Scheme 1).

Since a one-pot strategy needs to be adaptive to two different reaction conditions, the choice of the solvent turned out to be essential to achieve accurately the two steps in the same vessel. The Hunsdiecker-type bromodecarboxylation was settled with trans-cinnamic acid 1a. The most common solvent mixture used in this reaction is acetonitrile-water 97:3. This system gives from very good to excellent yields of *trans*-β-bromostyrenes. Unfortunately, this mixture is not compatible with the Suzuki reaction conditions. All the attempts we carried out in these conditions failed. So we studied new mixtures of solvents and we finally found that DME-water mixtures could be used for the two reactions: a DMEwater 9:1 mixture for the Hunsdiecker-type bromodecarboxylation and a DME-water 2:1 mixture for the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction (Tables 1 and 2). Finally we adjusted a one-pot protocol. The bromodecarboxylation was achieved in DME-water 9:1 for the first step. Addition of water to reach DME-water 2:1 and Suzuki cross-coupling reagents permits the second step.

 
 Table 1. Solvent optimization in the Hunsdiecker-type bromodecarboxylation

| Ph    | CO <sub>2</sub> H | NBS, LiOAc<br>solvent, MW 1 min | Br<br>2a                            |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Entry | Cinnamic<br>acid  | Solvent                         | Yield of <b>2a</b> <sup>a</sup> (%) |
| 1     | 1a                | Acetonitrile-water 97:3         | 81                                  |
| 2     | 1a                | DME–water 9:1                   | 78                                  |
| 3     | 1a                | DME-water 2:1                   | 62                                  |

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields.

Table 2. Solvent optimization in the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction

| Ph      | Br         | $\frac{\text{PhB(OH)}_2, \text{ K}_2\text{CO}_3}{\text{Ph}_2 \text{ solvent } MW 5 \text{ min}} P$ | h Ph                       |  |  |
|---------|------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 2a $4a$ |            |  |                            |  |  |
| Entry   | trans-β-   | Solvent  | Yield of                   |  |  |
|         | Bromostyre | ene  | <b>4a</b> <sup>a</sup> (%) |  |  |
| 1       | 2a         | Acetonitrile-water 97:3  | 0                          |  |  |
| 2       | 2a         | DME-water 9:1  | 43                         |  |  |
| 3       | 2a         | DME-water 2:1  | 72                         |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields.

The Hunsdiecker-type reaction was carried out with various cinnamic acids and the Suzuki coupling step was carried out with commercially available boron reagents including phenylboronic acid **3a**, 2-fluorophenylboronic acid **3b**, 4-cyanophenylboronic acid **3c** and 2-thienylboronic acid **3d**.

Thus, a series of cinnamic acids was first treated with N-bromosuccinimide and a catalytic amount of lithium acetate in 10 mL of DME-water (9:1) for 1-5 min under microwave heating at 100 °C to afford the corresponding (E)- $\beta$ -bromostyrenes. After cooling and carbon dioxide removal, boronic acid, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0), potassium carbonate and 3 mL of water were added to the mixture and heated for few minutes under microwave heating at 100 °C.<sup>20</sup> The results summarized in Table 3 show that *trans*-1,2-diarylethenes 4a-h were obtained in moderate to good yields. The final yield was mainly conditioned by the yield of the first step. Indeed, cinnamic acids carrying strong electrondonating groups such as methoxy (entries 2-5) provided easily trans-\beta-bromostyrenes, whereas cinnamic acids carrying electron-withdrawing groups (1f and 1g) gave products in lower yields and with a longer heating time (entries 6–7). This analysis was clearly established by Kuang and co-workers.<sup>15p</sup> Compound 4d was obtained using NaOH as the base in the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction instead of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to remove completely the acetate function (entry 4). We also applied our sequence to 3-(2-thienyl)acrylic acid 1h to afford 4h in moderate yield (entry 8). In this way, we tried to synthesize the original trans-1,2-dihetarylethene 4i starting from 3-(3furyl)acrylic acid 1i; however, the first step failed due to the bromination of the furan nucleus by NBS (entry 9).

In most cases, we observed or isolated a secondary product resulting in the intermediary *trans*- $\beta$ -bromostyrene homocoupling. This has been proved. The bromodecarboxylation carried out with **1b** in the presence of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) gave the yet unknown dimer **5b** (Scheme 2).

This sequence could be surely extended to (2E,4E)-5arylpenta-2,4-dienoic acids. The first attempt using 2fluorophenylboronic acid was successful (Table 4).

In conclusion, we have developed an original one-pot microwave-promoted Hunsdiecker–Suzuki strategy giving a straightforward access to *trans*-1,2-diarylethenes from cinnamic acids in few minutes. Further study concerning these compounds and preliminary biological evaluation are currently under progress.

Table 3. One-pot Hunsdiecker-Suzuki reaction starting from cinnamic acids

| Entry  | Cinnamic acid                      | Boronic acid                | Product            | MW (min)                | Yield <sup>a</sup> (%) |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1  | CO <sub>2</sub> H                  | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>3a    | 4a                 | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 5  | 46 <sup>b</sup>        |  |  |  |
| 2  | MeO OMe 1b                         | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>3a    | MeO OMe 4b         | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 5  | 71 <sup>b</sup>        |  |  |  |
| 3  | BnO OMe 1c                         | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>3a    | BnO OMe 4c         | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 5  | 64                     |  |  |  |
| 4  | AcO OMe 1d                         | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>3a    | HO<br>OMe<br>4d    | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 10 | 70 <sup>c,d</sup>      |  |  |  |
| 5  | MeO OMe le                         | S B(OH) <sub>2</sub> 3d     | MeO<br>OMe 4e      | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 15 | 43                     |  |  |  |
| 6  | F <sub>3</sub> C CO <sub>2</sub> H | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>F     | F <sub>3</sub> C F | Step 1: 5<br>Step 2: 15 | 12                     |  |  |  |
| 7  | CI CO <sub>2</sub> H               | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>CN 3c | CI CN 4g           | Step 1: 5<br>Step 2: 15 | 21                     |  |  |  |
| 8  | S CO <sub>2</sub> H 1h             | B(OH) <sub>2</sub><br>CN 3c | S CN 4h            | Step 1: 1<br>Step 2: 10 | 41                     |  |  |  |
| 9  | CO <sub>2</sub> H<br>0-1i          | S B(OH) <sub>2</sub> 3d     | o 4i               | Step 1: 5               | 0                      |  |  |  |
| Isolated yields.<br>Products <b>4a</b> and <b>4b</b> are spectroscopically identical to the reported literature (Ref. 21).<br>NaOH was used as the base.<br>Product <b>4d</b> is spectroscopically identical to the reported literature (Ref. 22). |                                    |                             |                    |                         |                        |  |  |  |



Scheme 2.

Table 4. One-pot Hunsdiecker–Suzuki reaction starting from (2E,4E) phenylpenta-2,4-dienoic acid 1j



<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Laboratoires PCAS and the 'Conseil Régional de Basse-Normandie'.

## **References and notes**

- (a) Vessières, A.; Top, S.; Pigeon, P.; Hillard, E.; Boubeker, L.; Spera, D.; Jaouen, G. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 3937–3940;
   (b) Sanoh, S.; Kitamura, S.; Sugihara, K.; Kohta, R.; Ohta, S.; Watanabe, H. J. Health Sci. 2006, 52, 613–622;
   (c) Heynekamp, J. J.; Weber, W. M.; Hunsaker, L. A.; Gonzales, A. M.; Orlando, R. A.; Deck, L. M.; Vander Jagt, D. L. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 7182–7189;
   (d) Li, Y.-Q.; Li, Z.-L.; Zhao, W.-J.; Wen, R.-X.; Meng, Q.-W.; Zeng, Y. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 41, 1084–1089.
- 2. Ovesna, Z.; Kozics, K.; Bader, Y.; Saiko, P.; Handler, N.; Erker, T.; Szekeres, T. Oncol. Rep. 2006, 16, 617–624.
- Richard, N.; Porath, D.; Radspieler, A.; Schwager, J. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2005, 49, 431–442.
- Jang, M.; Cai, L.; Udeani, G. O.; Slowing, K. V.; Thomas, C. F.; Beecher, C. W. W.; Fong, H. H. S.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Mehta, R. G.; Moon, R. C.; Pezzuto, J. M. Science 1997, 275, 218–220.
- 5. Waldeck, D. H. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 415-436.
- (a) Chaudhuri, M. K.; Ganguly, S. C. J. Phys. C (Solid State Phys.) 1969, 2, 1560–1565; (b) Singh, A. K.; Kanvah, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2001, 395–401.
- (a) Bachelor, F. W.; Loman, A. A.; Snowdon, L. R. *Can. J. Chem.* **1970**, *48*, 1554–1557; (b) Cushman, M.; Nagarathnam, D.; Gopal, D.; Chakraborti, A. K.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 2579–2588; (c) Kim, S.; Seus, P.; Meier, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *8*, 1761–1764; (d) Murias, M.; Handler, N.; Erker, T.; Pleban, K.; Ecker, G.; Saiko, P.; Szekeres, T.; Jaeger, W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 5571–5578.
- (a) Alonso, D. A.; Fuensanta, M.; Najera, C.; Varea, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6404–6416; (b) Mirk, D.; Grassot, J.-M.; Zhu, J. Synlett 2006, 1255–1259.

- (a) Gooβen, L. J.; Paetzold, J.; Winkel, L. Synlett 2002, 1721–1723; (b) Arvela, R. K.; Leadbeater, N. E. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1786–1790.
- Choi, H.; Jung, I.; Song, K. H.; Song, K.; Shin, D.-S.; Kang, S. O.; Ko, J. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 9059–9065.
- (a) Farinola, G. M.; Fiandanese, V.; Mazzone, L.; Naso, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2523–2524; (b) Molander, G. A.; Bernardi, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8424–8429; (c) Cella, R.; Stefani, H. A. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5656–5662; (d) Navarro, O.; Marion, N.; Mei, J.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5142–5148.
- 12. Ngwendson, J. N.; Atemnkeng, W. N.; Schultze, C. M.; Banerjee, A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4085–4088.
- (a) Chang, S.; Na, Y.; Shin, H. J.; Choi, E.; Jeong, L. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 7445–7448; (b) Velder, J.; Ritter, S.; Lex, J.; Schmalz, H.-G. *Synthesis* 2006, 2, 273– 278.
- (a) Bouillon, A.; Voisin, A. S.; Robic, A.; Lancelot, J.-C.; Collot, V.; Rault, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 10178–10180;
   (b) Voisin, A. S.; Bouillon, A.; Berenguer, I.; Lancelot, J.-C.; Lesnard, A.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11734– 11739; (c) Gérard, A.-L.; Bouillon, A.; Mahatsekake, C.; Collot, V.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 4665– 4669.
- 15. For Hunsdiecker-type halodecarboxylations in various conditions, see: (a) Johnson, R. G.; Ingham, R. K. Chem. Rev. 1956, 56, 219-269; (b) Berman, J. D.; Price, C. C. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 102-103; (c) Barton, D. H. R.; Lacher, B.; Zard, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5939-5942; (d) Graven, A.; Jørgensen, K. A.; Søren, D.; Stanczak, A. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3543-3546; (e) Chowdhury, S.; Roy, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2623-2624; (f) Chowdhury, S.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 199-200; (g) Naskar, D.; Chowdhury, S.; Roy, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 699-702; (h) Homsi, F.; Rousseau, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1495-1498; (i) Kuang, C.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M. Synlett 2000, 1439–1442; (j) You, H.-W.; Lee, K.-J. Synlett 2001, 105-107; (k) Roy, S. C.; Guin, C.; Maiti, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 9253-9255; (1) Sinha, J.; Layek, S.; Mandal, G. C.; Bhattacharjee, M. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1916-1917; (m) Das, J. P.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7861-7864; (n) Ye, C.; Shreeve, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 8561-8563; (o)

Kuang, C.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 637–642; (p) Kuang, C.; Yang, Q.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M. *Synthesis* **2005**, *8*, 1319–1325.

- (a) Chowdhury, S.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 199–200; (b) Kuang, C.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M. Synlett 2000, 1439–1442; (c) Das, J. P.; Roy, S. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7861–7864.
- (a) Graukroger, K.; Hadfield, J. A.; Hepworth, L. A.; Lawrence, N. J.; McGown, A. T. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8135–8138; (b) Hadfield, J. A.; McGown, A. T.; Gaukroger, K.; Hepworth, L. A.; Lawrence, N. J. WO 2002049994, 2002; (c) Yamada, Y. M. A.; Takeda, K.; Takahashi, H.; Ikegami, S. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3371–3374; (d) Arvela, R. K.; Leadbeater, N. E.; Sangi, M. S.; Williams, V. A.; Granados, P.; Singer, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 161–168; (e) Katayama, H.; Nagao, M.; Ozawa, F.; Ikegami, M.; Arai, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2699–2705; (f) Dhudshia, B.; Thadani, A. N. Chem. Commun. 2006, 668–670; (g) Babudri, F.; Cardone, A.; Cioffi, C. T.; Farinola, G. M.; Naso, F.; Ragni, R. Synthesis 2006, 8, 1325–1332.
- 18. Naskar, D.; Roy, S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 1369-1377.
- Kuang, C.; Yang, Q.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 4043–4052.
- 20. Typical procedure: In a microwave vial with a magnetic stir bar was introduced a mixture of *trans*-3,4-dimethoxycinnamic acid **1e** (2.00 g, 9.60 mmol), LiOAc (0.13 g, 1.92 mmol), and NBS (1.80 g, 10.09 mmol) in a DME–H<sub>2</sub>O mixture (10 mL, 9:1). The vial was sealed and heated at 100 °C under microwave irradiation (Biotage Initiator)

for 1 min. After removal of carbon dioxide and a thinlayer chromatography control, 2-thienylboronic acid 4d  $(1.47 \text{ g}, 11.52 \text{ mmol}), \text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$  (0.56 g, 0.48 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.32 g, 24.01 mmol) and water (3 mL) were added to the mixture. The vial was sealed, purged with argon through the vial's septum and heated at 100 °C under microwave irradiation for 15 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography using EtOAc/cyclohexane (1:9) as eluent. Recristallization from methanol afforded 4e as pale yellow needles (1.02 g, Yield: 43%). 2-[(*E*)-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)vinyl]thiophene 4e: mp 109 °C (methanol); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.90 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.94 (s, 3H, OMe), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d,  ${}^{3}J = 16.0$  Hz, 1H, olefinic-H), 6.98–7.04 (m, 4H), 7.11 (d,  ${}^{3}J = 16.0$  Hz, 1H, olefinic-H), 7.17 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H) ppm;  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDC) NMR (100 MHz) NMR (100 MH CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 56.0 (OMe), 56.1 (OMe), 108.7, 111.4, 119.8, 120.2, 124.0, 125.6, 127.7, 128.3, 130.2, 143.2, 149.1, 149.3 ppm; IR (KBr) 3413, 1597, 1580, 1519, 1507, 1264, 1230, 1154, 1137, 1025, 946, 816, 685 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS-EI: m/z $(\%) = 246 (100) [M^+], 231 (86), 171 (61), 115 (24).$ HRMS-EI: m/z [M<sup>+</sup>] calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 246.0724, found: 246.0714.

- Parrish, J. P.; Jung, Y. C.; Shin, S., II; Jung, K. W. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7127–7130.
- 22. Chhor, R. B.; Singh, K. A.; Nosse, B.; Tandon, V. K. Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 2519–2530.